

Gap Tauberian theorem for generalized Abel summability

BY V. K. KRISHNAN

St Thomas College, Trichur, India

(Received 25 November 1974)

Let $\alpha > -1$. For a given series $\sum_0^\infty a_n$, write

$$A_n = \sum_{r=0}^n a_r \quad (n \geq 0),$$

$$a(t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-nt} \quad (t > 0),$$

$$A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty A_n \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-nt} \quad (t > 0),$$

it being tacitly assumed that the series defining $a(t)$ and $A(t)$ converge for all $t > 0$. $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable (A_α) to A if

$$(1 - e^{-t})^{\alpha+1} A(t) \rightarrow A \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0+.$$

The purpose of this note is to establish the following gap Tauberian theorem for (A_α) summability:

THEOREM. *If $\sum a_n$ satisfies a gap condition as follows :*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_n &= 0 \text{ for } n \neq n_r \text{ where } \{n_r\} \text{ is a sequence of positive integers such that} \\ n_{r+1}/n_r &\geq c > 1 \text{ for all } r, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

and if $\sum a_n$ is summable (A_α) to A , then $\sum a_n$ converges to A .

Since (A_λ) summability implies (A_μ) summability for $\lambda > \mu > -1$ ((1), Theorem 2, 319), we need to (and do) consider the case $-1 < \alpha < 0$. The well known gap Tauberian theorem for (A_0) summability (viz. the special case of (2), Theorem 114, where λ_n therein are integers) is, therefore, a special case of our Theorem.

We will say that a function $s(y)$ belongs to the Tauberian class T_ϵ if functions $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, x)$, $\xi = \xi(\epsilon, x)$ can be defined for all x and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &> 0, \quad |\xi - x| \leq \delta, \\ |s(y) - s(x)| &\leq \epsilon \quad \text{for } |y - \xi| < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

This is a special case of the Tauberian class T_β considered by Pitt ((3); 7, 8; with Pitt's notation, we have taken $\mu = 0$).

LEMMA 1. Suppose that $k(x), k_1(x)$ are integrable over $(-\infty, \infty)$, that the Fourier transform $K(t)$ of $k(x)$ does not vanish for real t , that $s(x)$ is bounded and that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x-y) s(y) dy = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_1(x-y) s(y) dy = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_1(y) dy.$$

This is Wiener's theorem ((3); IV, Theorem 11, 51).

LEMMA 2. Suppose $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 \geq 0$,

$$K(-i\omega) = K(t - i\sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\omega y} k(y) dy \neq 0 \quad (0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1).$$

Suppose also that $s(x) = O(e^{\sigma x})$ for $x > 0$ and each fixed σ in the range $\sigma_1 < \sigma \leq \sigma_2$, while $s(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$,

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x-y) s(y) dy \quad \text{is bounded}$$

and $s(x)$ belongs to the Tauberian class T_σ . Then $s(x)$ is bounded.

This is a special case of a theorem of Pitt ((3), IV, Theorem 23, 72).

LEMMA 3. If (1) is satisfied and $(1 - e^{-t})^{\alpha+1} A(t) = O(1)$ ($t \rightarrow 0+$), then $A_n = O(n^{-\alpha})$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Proof. Since the series defining $a(t)$ and $A(t)$ are power series in e^{-t} we can write for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-nt} (A_n - A_{n-1}) \quad (A_{-1} = 0), \\ &= A(t) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n+1}\right) e^{-(n+1)t} A_n, \\ &= A(t) - e^{-t} A(t) - \alpha \int_t^{\infty} e^{-u} A(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, there is a constant M such that

$$|(1 - e^{-t})^{\alpha+1} A(t)| \leq M \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |a(t)| &\leq M(1 - e^{-t})^{-\alpha} + |\alpha| \int_t^{\infty} e^{-u} M(1 - e^{-u})^{-(\alpha+1)} du \\ &= M(1 - e^{-t})^{-\alpha} - M\alpha \left[\frac{1 - (1 - e^{-t})^{-\alpha}}{-\alpha} \right] = O(1) \quad (t \rightarrow 0+). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 116 in (2) to $\sum b_n e^{-nt}$ where $b_n = a_n \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha}$ it now follows that $a_n \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} = O(1)$ and hence that $a_n = O(n^{-\alpha})$.

Now, if $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$ we have, by (1),

$$|A_n| \leq \sum_{r=1}^k |a_{n_r}| \leq M_1 n_k^{-\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^k \binom{n_k}{n_r}^\alpha \leq M_1 n^{-\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^k c^{(k-r)\alpha} = O(n^{-\alpha}).$$

LEMMA 4. If $A_n = o(n)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), Σa_n is summable (A_a) to A and

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^\infty u^\alpha e^{-tu} \bar{A}(u) du \quad (t > 0), \tag{2}$$

where $\bar{A}(u) = A_n$ for $n \leq u < n+1$, then

- (i) $\Psi(t) \rightarrow A$ ($t \rightarrow 0+$), and
- (ii) $\Psi(t)$ is bounded for $0 < t < \infty$.

Proof. Part (i) is a special case of an unpublished result of C. T. Rajagopal who has kindly supplied this proof to me. Supposing that $A_0 = 0$ we can write $\Psi(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty d_n(t) A_n$ where

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(t) &= \frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_n^{n+1} u^\alpha e^{-tu} du, \\ &= \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} [n + \theta_n(t)]^\alpha [e^{-nt} - e^{-(n+1)t}] \quad (0 < \theta_n(t) < 1), \\ &= \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} n^\alpha \left[1 + \frac{w_n(t)}{n} \right] e^{-nt} (1 - e^{-t}) \quad (|w_n(t)| \leq M), \\ &= t^\alpha \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{w'_n(t)}{n} \right) \left[1 + \frac{w_n(t)}{n} \right] e^{-nt} (1 - e^{-t}) \quad (|w'_n| \leq M'), \\ &= t^\alpha (1 - e^{-t}) \left[1 + \frac{\delta_n(t)}{n} \right] \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-nt} \quad (|\delta_n(t)| \leq M''), \end{aligned}$$

M, M', M'' being independent of n and t . This shows that $\Psi(t)$ exists for all $t > 0$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{1-e^{-t}}{t} \right)^\alpha \Psi(t) - (1-e^{-t})^{\alpha+1} A(t) \right| &= \left| (1-e^{-t})^{\alpha+1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-nt} \frac{\delta_n(t)}{n} \right| \\ &\leq M'' (1-e^{-t})^{\alpha+1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n n^{-1} \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-nt} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0+, \end{aligned}$$

since $A_n n^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ and the (A_a) method is regular. This proves (i).

It can be directly verified that $\Psi(t) = O(1)$ ($t \rightarrow \infty$); and hence (ii) holds.

LEMMA 5. If $A_n = O(n^{-\alpha})$, $\Psi(t)$, defined by (2), is bounded in $(0, \infty)$ and (1) is satisfied, then $A_n = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Proof. Writing $u = e^y$ and $t = e^{-x}$ we have

$$\Psi(e^{-x}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{(y-x)(\alpha+1)} \exp\{-e^{y-x}\} \bar{A}(e^y) dy.$$

Let $k(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} e^{-(\alpha+1)x} \exp\{-e^{-x}\}$, $\bar{A}(e^y) = s(y)$

and $g(x) = \Psi(e^{-x})$. Then

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty k(x-y) s(y) dy.$$

Now the gap condition (1) implies that $s(y)$ is constant in some interval of length not less than $2\delta = \log c$ (closed on the left, open on the right) which contains x . Hence we can choose ξ with $|\xi - x| \leq \delta$ such that $s(y) = s(x)$ for $|y - \xi| \leq \delta$. This means that $s(y)$ belongs to the Tauberian class T_σ defined earlier. The Fourier transform of $k(x)$ is $K(t) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1 + it)/\Gamma(\alpha + 1)$ which does not vanish for any t . Further, the boundedness of $\Psi(t)$ implies that of $g(x)$ and $A_n = O(n^{-\alpha})$ implies that $s(y) = O(e^{-\alpha y})$. Thus the hypotheses of the lemma imply the hypotheses of Lemma 2 with $\sigma_1 = -\alpha$.

LEMMA 6. *If Σa_n satisfies the hypotheses of our theorem and if $A_n = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) then Σa_n converges to A .*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x-y)s(y)dy = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(y)dy$$

in the notation used in the proof of Lemma 5. Taking $k_1(x) = e^{-x}$ for $x \geq 0$, $k_1(x) = 0$, for $x < 0$, we deduce from Lemma 1 that $\bar{A}(u) \rightarrow A(C, 1)$. The lemma now follows from the fact that (1) is a gap Tauberian condition for $(C, 1)$ summability.

The theorem is obviously obtained by combining Lemmas 3, 4, 5 and 6.

I am indebted to the Referee for simplifying the use of Pitt's Tauberian classes and thereby correcting a mistake in my original proof of Lemma 5. I thank Professor Rajagopal and Dr M. S. Rangachari for their help in the preparation of this note.

REFERENCES

- (1) BORWEIN, D. On a scale of Abel-type summability methods. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **53** (1957), 319–322.
- (2) HARDY, G. H. *Divergent series* (Oxford, 1949).
- (3) PITT, H. R. *Tauberian theorems* (Tata Institute Monographs No. 2, Bombay, 1958).