**CONTENTS**


| Chapter | Title | Page No. |
|---|---|---|
| **PART I<br>PESTICIDE RESIDUE ANALYSIS IN SPICES:<br>METHOD DEVELOPMENT, VALIDATION AND APPLICATION** | | |
| **CHAPTER 1** | **INTRODUCTION AND REVIEW** | |
| | Modern pesticides: an overview | 1 |
| | Pesticide residues: environmental and health hazards | 5 |
| | Analysis of pesticide residues: classical and modern methods | 6 |
| | The QuEChERS sample preparation method | 8 |
| | Modern adaptations of the QuEChERS method | 10 |
| | Instrumentation in pesticide residue analysis | 13 |
| | Matrix effects in pesticide residue analysis | 16 |
| | Causes of matrix effects in LC-MS/MS | 19 |
| | Causes of matrix effects in GC-MS/MS | 20 |
| | Correcting matrix effects: LC-MS/MS | 22 |
| | Correcting matrix effects: GC-MS/MS | 25 |
| | Use of analyte protectants | 26 |
| | Residue analytical methods in spices | 27 |
| | Analysis of dithiocarbamate residues | 28 |
| | Validation of pesticide residue analysis method | 29 |
| | Scope and objectives of the present investigation | 30 |
| **CHAPTER 2** | **MATERIALS AND METHODS** | |
| | Materials | 32 |
| | Instrumentation | 32 |
| | Preparation of standard reference solutions | 33 |
| | Sample selection and homogenization | 32 |
| | General scheme for method development and optimization | 34 |
| | QuEChERS sample preparation | 37 |
| | Method parameters: GC-M/MS and LC-MS/MS | 36 |
| | Preparation of analyte protectant solution for GC-MS/MS | 38 |
| | Analysis of pungency and colour in chillies for surrogate matrix studies | 38 |
| | Sample preparation for dithiocarbamate (DTC) analysis | 39 |
| | Instrumentation for DTC analysis | 39 |
| | Method validation | 40 |
| | Evaluation of measurement uncertainty | 44 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **CHAPTER 3** | **RESIDUE ANALYSIS IN SPICES BY UPLC-MS/MS** | |
| | General analytical scheme and establishment of blanks | 46 |
| | UPLC-MS/MS method optimization | 47 |
| | QuEChERS sample preparation | 51 |
| | Optimization of sample: water ratio | 51 |
| | Optimization of sample weight | 54 |
| | Buffering during extraction step | 55 |
| | Optimization of cleanup step | 56 |
| | Concentration and reconstitution | 60 |
| | Matrix load with optimized cleanup | 61 |
| | Evaluation of matrix effects | 62 |
| | Method performance | 63 |
| | Measurement uncertainty calculation | 67 |
| | Conclusions | 71 |
| **CHAPTER 4** | **RESIDUE ANALYSIS IN SPICES BY GCMS/MS** | |
| | General analytical scheme and establishment of blanks | 73 |
| | GC-MS/MS method optimization | 75 |
| | Sample preparation method optimization | 76 |
| | Optimization of cleanup conditions | 77 |
| | Matrix effects in GC-MS/MS | 82 |
| | Method performance | 84 |
| | Assessment of measurement uncertainty | 86 |
| | Conclusions | 89 |
| **CHAPTER 5** | **MITIGATION OF MATRIX EFFECTS IN SPICES** | |
| | Quantitation problems due to matrix effects | 90 |
| | Matrix effects in GC-MS/MS | 92 |
| | Matrix effects in GC-MS/MS analysis of pesticides | 93 |
| | Chemicals as analyte protectants | 95 |
| | Matrix effects in LC-MS/MS | 101 |
| | Matrix surrogates to mitigate matrix effects in chillies | 102 |
| | Study of composition of chilli extracts after cleanup | 103 |
| | Use of NVNA as a matrix surrogate for analysis of chilli samples | 106 |
| | Application to real samples | 110 |
| | Conclusions | 114 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **CHAPTER 6** | **ANALYSIS OF DITHIOCARBAMATES IN SPICES** | |
| | Structure of DTC compounds | 116 |
| | Optimization of instrumental conditions | 119 |
| | Method validation | 122 |
| | Matrix effects and effects of sample comminution | 125 |
| | Safety evaluation of DTC in cardamom and black pepper | 127 |
| | Conclusions | 128 |
| | **REFERENCES** | 130 |
| **PART II**<br>**APPLICATION OF ANALYTICAL METHODS TO REAL SAMPLES** | | |
| **CHAPTER 1** | **INTRODUCTION AND REVIEW** | |
| | Risks due to pesticide residues | 141 |
| | Fixing MRLs for raw commodities | 142 |
| | Dietary exposure and hazard estimation of residues | 143 |
| | Fixing MRLs for processed commodities | 145 |
| | Dehydration factors in spices | 146 |
| | Assessing compliance with MRLs | 146 |
| | Scope and objectives of the present study | 147 |
| **CHAPTER 2** | **MATERIALS AND METHODS** | |
| | Materials | 149 |
| | Instrumentation | 149 |
| | Sample collection | 150 |
| | Sample preparation and extraction | 150 |
| | Instrumental analysis | 151 |
| | Assessing compliance with Indian MRLs | 151 |
| | Characterization of food safety hazards | 152 |
| **CHAPTER 3** | **APPLICATION OF MULTIRESIDUE METHODS TO REAL SAMPLES** | |
| | Residue analysis results | 154 |
| | Incidence of residues in spices | 156 |
| | Compliance with national MRLs in spices | 160 |
| | Food safety risks in spices due to pesticide residues | 166 |
| | Hazard characterization of residues in spices | 168 |
| | Conclusions | 169 |
| | **REFERENCES** | 171 |
| **SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS** | | 174 |
| **LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND CONFERENCE PAPERS** | | 177 |

## LIST OF TABLES


| Table No. | Title | Page No. |
|---|---|---|
| | **PART I**<br>**PESTICIDE RESIDUE ANALYSIS IN SPICES:**<br>**METHOD DEVELOPMENT, VALIDATION AND APPLICATION** | |
| 1.1 | Classes of synthetic pesticides and mode of functioning | 4 |
| 1.2 | Representative spice matrices with modes of homogenization | 34 |
| 1.3 | Acceptable performance criteria for analytical methods | 40 |
| 1.4 | Optimized UPLC-MS/MS method parameters | 47 |
| 1.5 | Optimized compound-dependent parameters in UPLC-MS/MS | 49 |
| 1.6 | Optimized extraction and QuEChERS cleanup scheme for LC-MS/MS | 60 |
| 1.7 | Linearity equations and correlation coefficient values for pesticides analyzed by LC-MS/MS | 64 |
| 1.8 | Relative standard uncertainty components | 69 |
| 1.9 | Common relative standard uncertainty components – UPLC-MS/MS analysis | 70 |
| 1.10 | Gas chromatographic and mass spectrometric conditions | 75 |
| 1.11 | Optimized MRM transitions in GC-MS/MS | 76 |
| 1.12 | Optimized extraction and QuEChERS cleanup scheme for GC-MS/MS | 82 |
| 1.13 | Validation parameters for target analytes in cumin as a representative matrix | 85 |
| 1.14 | Relative standard uncertainties specific to each analyte compound, at a reference value of 10 $\mu g\ kg^{-1}$ | 86 |
| 1.15 | Common relative standard uncertainty components: GC-MS/MS analysis | 88 |
| 1.16 | List of analytes and GC-MS/MS retention times | 92 |
| 1.17 | Comparison of matrix effect between extracts of samples MC1 and MC2, with and without dilution | 108 |
| 1.18 | Optimized GC-MS parameter settings for analysis of $CS_2$ | 121 |
| 1.19 | Accuracy (% recovery), intra-day precision ($RSD_r$, n = 5) and inter-day precision ($RSD_R$, n=9) for dithiocarbamates (as $CS_2$) in cardamom and black pepper (whole and crushed/ground forms) | 123 |
| 1.20 | Matrix effect (ME, %) in black pepper and cardamom | 126 |
| 1.21 | Safety evaluation of dithiocarbamate residues in cardamom and black pepper | 128 |
| | **PART II**<br>**APPLICATION OF ANALYTICAL METHODS TO REAL SAMPLES** | |
| 2.1 | Comparison of dietary consumption of cereals and spices | 144 |
| 2.2 | Details of samples collected for survey | 150 |
| 2.3 | Available national MRLs for pesticides for various spices | 152 |
| 2.4 | Classes of pesticides detected in the spice samples studied | 167 |
| 2.5 | Hazard characterization in spices | 169 |

## LIST OF FIGURES


| Figure No. | Title | Page No. |
|---|---|---|
| | **PART I**<br>**PESTICIDE RESIDUE ANALYSIS IN SPICES:**<br>**METHOD DEVELOPMENT, VALIDATION AND APPLICATION** | |
| 1.1 | General chemical structures of commonly used modern pesticides | 3 |
| 1.2 | Steps of the original QuEChERS method | 9 |
| 1.3 | Comparison of the original QuEChERS method with the buffered methods | 12 |
| 1.4 | Instrumentation in tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) | 14 |
| 1.5 | Electrospray ionization in LC-MS/MS | 15 |
| 1.6 | Electron ionization in GC-MS/MS | 15 |
| 1.7 | Rotational matrix effects | 18 |
| 1.8 | Schematic representation of matrix effect arising in GC | 21 |
| 1.9 | Strategies for addressing matrix effects | 24 |
| 1.10 | General Scheme for method development and optimization | 35 |
| 1.11 | Optimized UPLC-EI-MS/MS chromatogram | 48 |
| 1.12 | Schematic diagram showing mass spectrometric parameters: LC-MS/MS | 49 |
| 1.13 | Effect of moisture content on extraction efficiency in spices | 53 |
| 1.14 | Effect of sample weight on recovery and precision in cardamom | 55 |
| 1.15 | Optimization of cleanup procedures in spices: UPLC-MS/MS | 58 |
| 1.16 | Matrix load in cleaned extracts: UPLC-MS/MS | 61 |
| 1.17 | Matrix effects (%) of pesticides in four representative spices | 66 |
| 1.18 | Uncertainty components for residue analysis | 68 |
| 1.19 | Expanded uncertainty for 95% confidence limit for UPLC-MS/MS analysis | 71 |
| 1.20 | Optimized chromatogram in GC-MS/MS | 77 |
| 1.21 | Optimization of cleanup procedure in spices: GC-MS/MS | 79 |
| 1.22 | Matrix load in cleaned extracts: GC-MS/MS | 81 |
| 1.23 | Full-scan TIC for extracts of chillies and cardamom, without cleanup (A) and with cleanup (B) | 84 |
| 1.24 | Expanded uncertainty at 95% confidence limit for GC-MS/MS analysis | 88 |
| 1.25 | Schematic representation of quantitation issues due to matrix effects | 91 |
| 1.26 | Comparison of calibration curves in solvent and spice extracts in bifenthrin | 94 |
| 1.27 | GC-MS/MS Matrix effects for pesticides observed in three spices | 95 |
| 1.28 | Chemical structures of analyte protectants used in the study | 96 |
| 1.29 | Effect of volume of AP mix added on peak shapes in 50 mg $kg^{-1}$ solvent-based standard in two representative analytes. | 97 |
| 1.30 | Effect of volume of AP mix added on response in 50 mg $kg^{-1}$ solvent-based standard as compared to response in matrix matched standards. | 99 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1.31 | Comparison of matrix effects for 50 µg $kg^{-1}$ standards in solvent containing 100 µl AP mix /ml of extract and in extracts of three spices | 100 |
| 1.32 | Effect of optimized cleanup step during sample preparation, on A - capsaicinoid content (NHC: nordihydrocapsaicin, CAP: capsaicin, DHC: dihydrocapsaicin) and B - carotenoid content of the chilli extract. | 105 |
| 1.33 | Chemical structures of capsaicinoids in chilli-peppers and synthetic capsaicin: (a) capsaicin, (b) dihydrocapsaicin, (c) nordihydrocapsaicin, (d) homocapsaicin, (e) homodihydrocapsaicin, (f) N-vanillylnonanamide (NVNA, synthetic capsaicin). | 106 |
| 1.34 | Matrix effects of pesticides at concentration of 0.01 mg $kg^{-1}$ in (A) surrogate matrix with 50 mg $kg^{-1}$ NVNA & MC1 (38,100 SHU) matrix extract diluted to 50%, and (B) surrogate matrix with 50 mg $kg^{-1}$ NVNA & MC2 (84,600 SHU) matrix extract diluted to 50%. | 109 |
| 1.35 | Schematic illustration of standard addition technique | 111 |
| 1.36 | Comparison of average values of residue results (µg $kg^{-1}$) obtained using 3-point standard addition and surrogate matrix-based calibration (n=3) for three chilli-pepper samples with incurred residues: (A) sample S1 (36,200 SHU), (B) Sample S2 (44,200 SHU) and (C) Sample S3 (58,100 SHU). | 113 |
| 1.37 | Chemical structures of important dithiocarbamate fungicides | 117 |
| 1.38 | Cleavage of thiram to form $CS_2$ | 119 |
| 1.39 | Optimization of the injection mode in GC-MS. split ratio / split flow | 120 |
| 1.40 | Schematic of the post-run, mid-column backflush option in GC | 121 |
| 1.41 | Chromatogram of $CS_2$ in GC-MS, SIM mode | 122 |
| 1.42 | Matrix matched calibration curves for $CS_2$ in isooctane, black pepper extract and cardamom extract | 125 |
| **PART II<br>APPLICATION OF ANALYTICAL METHODS TO REAL SAMPLES** | | |
| 2.1 | Using measurement uncertainty in assessing MRL compliance | 147 |
| 2.2 | Incidence of pesticide residues: (A) residues detected in spices (%) out of the 78 compounds tested; (B) overall residue load in the samples of each spice tested | 155 |
| 2.3 | Pesticide residues detected in chillies | 156 |
| 2.4 | Pesticide residues detected in cardamom | 157 |
| 2.5 | Pesticide residues detected in cumin | 158 |
| 2.6 | Pesticide residues detected in ginger | 159 |
| 2.7 | Pesticide residues detected in cinnamon | 159 |
| 2.8 | Pesticide residues detected in curry leaves | 160 |
| 2.9 | Pesticides detected above MRL levels in chillies | 161 |
| 2.10 | Pesticide residues detected above MRL levels in cardamom | 162 |
| 2.11 | Pesticide residues detected above MRL levels in cumin | 163 |
| 2.12 | Pesticide residues detected above MRL levels in ginger | 164 |
| 2.13 | Pesticide residues detected above MRL levels in cinnamon | 165 |
| 2.14 | Pesticide residues detected above MRL levels in curry leaves | 166 |